

**Minutes Excerpt**

City Hall, City of Lodi  
Monday, March 30 - 1925

No further persons addressing the Board, Trustee Hale, seconded by Trustee Shattuck introduced Resolution No. 476 and the same was passed and adopted by the following vote:-

AYES: Trustees, Hale, Shattuck, Mettler, Roach and Spooner.

NOES: Trustees, None. ABSENT: Trustees, None.

RESOLUTION NO. 476

RESOLUTION OVERRULING ALLEGED OBJECTIONS TO WORK OR IMPROVEMENT UNDER RESOLUTION OF INTENTION NO. 474.

WHEREAS, on the 19th day of January, 1925, the Board of Trustees and City Council of the City of Lodi, San Joaquin County, California, duly passed and adopted Resolution of Intention No. 474; and whereas notices of the passage of said resolution have been posted, given and published in all respects as required by law and in all respects as required by said Resolution of Intention; and whereas on March 12th., 1925, more than ten days since the completion of the publication of said notices, John H. Brown, E. J. Cain, George Heinitz, George G. Heinitz, George Abbott, John Zobel, John Ehlers, Geo. P. Stafford, J. C. Weinberger, J. F. Terwillager, Jacob Heinitz, W. O. Corell, Wm. H. Menzel, Andrew Kutlick, Wm. Corell, Thomas Huron and Maria Focacci filed with the Clerk of said Board their alleged written objections to the work and improvement mentioned in said Resolution of Intention and to all matters therein contained; and whereas no written objections and no objections whatever have been made within ten days since the completion of the publication of said notices, which time of publication expired on February 27th., 1925; and whereas said Board of Trustees did on March 16th., 1925, and without waiving the fact that said alleged written objections of said protestants and objectioners were not filed within the time allowed by law, fix a time, to-wit, March 30th., 1925 at eight o'clock in the afternoon for hearing said alleged objections; and whereas the said City Clerk thereupon notified the said protestants and objectioners of the time fixed for the hearing of their alleged objections, by depositing a notice thereof in the Post Office of said City, with postage prepaid, addressed to each of said objectioners; and said alleged objections coming on duly and regularly to be heard at the time specified in said notice, to-wit, at eight o'clock in the afternoon on March 30th., 1925; and said Board

having fully heard the same and all evidence and matters submitted in support thereof;

BE IT RESOLVED: That said alleged objections of said protestants and objectioners and the whole thereof are without merit and said alleged objections and each and all thereof are hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED: That although the said Board of Trustees has heard and decided said alleged objections upon their merits, said Board and City Council has not and does not waive the fact that said alleged objections of said protestants were not filed within the time allowed by law.